

From: Kelly Wright <kwright@sbtribes.com>
Sent: Friday, February 08, 2013 2:35 PM
To: Sheldrake, Beth
Cc: 'JGrant@NordhausLaw.com'; Schweis, Jon; susanh@ida.net; Virginia Monsisco; Rochlin, Kevin
Subject: Requested Information
Attachments: 2013-02-08 SBT Narrative Statement regarding Technical Consultants - rev 2.pdf

Categories: Provided for LEPIC 1 to 11-19 2013

Beth, please find attached a copy of the requested information.

As for the government to government consultation, we have been waiting until we have something on the independent study so it would be worth while. I will try to set something up for March if that works for Dennis.

Let me know.

Thanks

Kelly

From: Sheldrake.Beth@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Sheldrake.Beth@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 10:49 AM
To: Kelly Wright
Cc: 'JGrant@NordhausLaw.com'; Schweiss.Jon@epamail.epa.gov; susanh@ida.net; Virginia Monsisco; Rochlin.Kevin@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Contractor Costs rev 2.xlsx

Hi, Kelly. Thanks for your response.

Have you have been able to get any information about scheduling the government-to-government consultation. Dennis McLerran's office is really bugging me about the 'holds' I have placed on his calendar. I know it is probably really challenging to get input on the Tribal Council's schedule and availability, but any assistance you can provide here would be much appreciated.

With respect to your note accompanying the contractor costs spreadsheet, EPA Region 10 wasn't aware of the Tribes' desire to use David Riesman as your technical expert and we didn't make a conflict of interest determination. It is possible that someone else within EPA, potentially Mr. Riesman's Office of Research and Development Ethics Official, made a determination and we are looking into it.

As a reminder, we also still need a narrative description in the work plan of the respective roles and expertise of the two identified support contractors and how they will be assisting the Tribes with this process. With the documentation received to date, the unique services being provided to the Tribes by these two technical contractors is not clear.

We look forward to both hearing from you about scheduling the consultation and making progress on the work plan.

Thanks!

Beth

Beth Sheldrake, Manager
Superfund Site Cleanup Unit #1
Office of Environmental Cleanup
US Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900 (ECL-113)
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 553-0220
c (206) 890-1827

▼ Kelly Wright ---01/22/2013 01:19:08 PM---Here is the modified version that was requested earlier. Only problem is that we had anticipated usi

From: Kelly Wright <kwright@sbtribes.com>
To: Beth Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Schweiss/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Virginia Monsisco <vmonsisco@sbtribes.com>, "susanh@ida.net" <susanh@ida.net>, "JGrant@NordhausLaw.com" <JGrant@NordhausLaw.com>
Date: 01/22/2013 01:19 PM
Subject: Contractor Costs rev 2.xlsx

Here is the modified version that was requested earlier. Only problem is that we had anticipated using David Riesman but since we was a retired EPA employee there was a conflict of interest. This cost was based on using him since he is already up to speed on the process. Another contractor will be significantly more expensive. We would like EPA to allow his involvement in the process if at all possible.

Thanks

Kelly [attachment "Contractor Costs rev 2.xlsx" deleted by Beth Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US]

EMF Superfund Site – FMC OU

Independent Review of Treatment Technologies

Pursuant to an Interagency Agreement between EPA and DOE, Argonne National Labs will be performing an independent review of elemental phosphorus treatment technologies for possible use at the Eastern Michaud Flats Superfund Site – FMC Operable Unit. The Shoshone-Bannock Tribes have requested financial assistance from EPA for the hiring of consultants to advise the Tribes with regard to this review. The Tribes would like to use two technical consultants. The first consultant (“Consultant 1” in the proposed budget) would bring extensive knowledge of the site and its history, having been involved with the site from the beginning, as well as specific knowledge regarding elemental phosphorus and phosphine generation at the FMC OU, including knowledge of the prior treatment technologies proposed. Consultant 1 would also bring experience in CERCLA cleanup issues and knowledge of the Tribes and the Fort Hall Business Council. As indicated in the proposed budget, Consultant 1 would play the largest role in presenting Argonne’s report to the FHBC. The second consultant (“Consultant 2”) would be an expert in the field. This person would have specific knowledge of issues involving elemental phosphorus, its nature, effects, and concerns involving its treatment. Consultant 2 would also have experience and expertise both in drafting a scope of work and in evaluating the type of report that Argonne will issue, making recommendations regarding that report, and identifying any next steps that might be needed.